

**Peer Review of Teaching Template (online courses)**

**Educator: please complete the questions below and on the next page and send to the reviewer and provide access to your Canvas course site.**

**Context**

This template is intended to be used by faculty (or modified by departments/colleges) to conduct peer reviews of teaching that align with the Policy on Peer Review of Teaching Practices. Peer review encompasses design (goals, content, alignment), approaches to instruction and assessment, creation of the learning environment and reflection and iterative growth in these areas. As such, the peer review process involves more than an observation of a teaching session.

**Suggested Peer Review Process**

1. Reviewees (henceforth called educators) and reviewers are matched through standard college/department process.
2. The educator completes the pre-review information (pages 1 and 2 of the template) and provides it to the peer reviewer.
3. After reading the completed pre-review information, the peer reviewer and the educator meet to discuss the course, the educator’s approach, timelines, and what materials will be generated/considered in the review (e.g., observation, Canvas site, syllabus, exams of assessment and feedback).
4. The reviewer should take a moment to reflect on their experiences and worldviews, to consider how this may influence their perspective for this review. This will help the reviewer write an equity statement later.
5. The reviewer uses the peer review template to work through the agreed process/materials, reviewing the plans and actions of the educator in each category, noting the educator’s strengths and areas for improvement in the space provided. The template is shared with the educator who completes the final section with reflections and plans for enhancement.
6. The peer reviewer meets with the educator to discuss the review, after which the completed peer review template is provided to the educator and dean or department head as per college/department process.

**Educator and Peer Reviewer**

1. Educator’s name:
2. Peer Reviewer’s name:
3. Date of review (month/year)

**Online Course**

1. Course name and number (e.g., EARTH 101):
2. Course title (e.g., Introduction to the Planet Earth):
3. Relationship of course to certificate or degree programs

(e.g., required or elective for B.Sc. in Earth Awareness):

**Online course technologies**

1. URL for the **course home page**
2. URL for the **course syllabus**
3. URL for the **calendar of assignment due dates**
4. URL for **assignments**
5. URL for **quizzes and examinations** (if applicable)
6. URL for **discussions among students and educator(s)** (if applicable)
7. Please describe the nature and purpose of the **communications between students and educator(s)** in this course, including any not available for review at the sites listed in questions 8-13.

1. Does the course require any **supplementary materials** beyond what is provided at the sites listed above (e.g., textbook or software)?

 **[ ]** Yes  **[ ]**  No

If yes, please describe:

1. Does the course require any **synchronous activities** (same time, same place)?  **[ ]** Yes  **[ ]** No

If yes, please describe:

1. Does the course require any **face-to-face activities**? **[ ]** Yes  **[ ]** No

If yes, please describe:

1. Anonymized examples of student assessment, with feedback, have been provided to the Reviewer.

**[ ]** Yes  **[ ]** No

**Relevant Educator and Course Context**

1. Please outline details about your teaching approach and/or the course that may be relevant to the reviewer (e.g., changes made in response to previous feedback, specific rationale for the approach you have taken in design, instruction or assessment, relevant points about the students in the class, specific aspects of the course, like class size, that may constrain instructional approaches)

1. Are there any elements of your identity (that you feel comfortable disclosing) or the course content that you believe may influence the review, and which you’d like the reviewer to consider explicitly?

1. Please outline particular areas on which you would welcome feedback (e.g., a new instructional approach, an area you revised based on previous feedback):

1. Please include additional information you wish to have the reviewer consider:

****

**Peer Review Template for Online Courses**

|  |
| --- |
| **Criterion 1: Goals, content, and alignment** *How the course is structured and organized to achieve clarity, accessibility and alignment of outcomes, learning activities, and assessment.* |
| * **Appropriate and clear learning goals (outcomes)**
* **Learning goals (outcomes) are aligned with program, curricular and/or institutional expectations**
* **Content is appropriate and aligned with learning goals (outcomes)**

**You might see:*** [Course learning outcomes](https://teaching.usask.ca/remote-teaching/learning-outcomes.php) are appropriate for level of study and nature of course (e.g., lab, seminar, lecture, experiential)
* Course learning outcomes clearly defined, building on or preparing students for outcomes in other courses, and aligning with program learning outcomes
* Course designed in a way that presents and communicates content clearly
* [Accessibility issues addressed](https://open.ubc.ca/access/toolkits-access/oer-accessibility-toolkit/) throughout the course
 | **Feedback for the Educator****Evidence Found:**     **Strengths:**     **Areas for Improvement:**      |
| **Where to look** | **What you could comment on** |
| * Course syllabus
* Course homepage and navigation structure
* Course calendar
* Assessment directions
 | * Easy for students to understand how the course is organized (e.g., including an overview, flow of the course/session, opportunities for review)
* Clear what students need to know or be able to do at the end of the course (outcomes) and how the outcomes are checked by assessment
* Expectations for individual assessments are clear and available to students in advance
 |
| * Pages/Modules in the course
* Learning resources
 | * Accessibility improved through use of headings, subheadings, images include alternative text, transcripts or captioning for multimedia resources, and a minimum font size 12 point
* Pages and modules use consistent, effective structure to present content and offer learning activities
* Breadth and depth of content/intended learning is achievable given course duration and level
 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Criterion 2a: Instructional practices***How the educator fosters an inclusive learning environment, provides varied and current resources, and supports students learning.* |
| * **Instructional practices are planned and organized**
* **Instructional practices are aligned with learning goals (outcomes)**
* **Instructional practices engage students in the learning process**
* **Instructional practices facilitate achievement of learning outcomes**
* **Instructional practices integrate research, scholarship, artistic work, and/or professional activities**

**You might see:*** Appropriate tools (e.g., technological) are selected and used to facilitate communication and learning
* The type of instruction is likely to result is students demonstrating the outcomes
* Frequent and timely student-educator contact is integral to the course
* A variety of course-specific resources are provided (e.g., videos, text) that support student understanding and engagement with materials
* Adequate opportunities for interaction, collaboration, communication, and support between students as well as between students and educator provided
 | **Feedback for the Educator****Evidence Found:**     **Strengths:**     **Areas for Improvement:**      |
| **Where to look** | **What you could comment on** |
| * Pages/Modules in the course (learning materials)
* Educator videos
* Communication sent to students
 | * Well-paced course activities that tied to outcomes and distributed across modules/weeks
* Student questions encouraged and educator responses deepen learning
* Examples, resources and activities that reflect scholarship used in ways that students can understand and find relevant to their prior learning/experience
 |
| * Course syllabus
* Student Assignments provided by educator
* Directions to students
* Discussion forums
 | * Examples of student work where they, (1) think, talk, or write about their learning, (2) reflect, relate, organize, apply, synthesize, or evaluate information, and/or (3) perform research, virtual lab or studio work, or hands on activities, as possible in the online context
* Questions and student responses deepen disciplinary understanding and application of main course content
* Content and outcomes are directly linked for students
 |
| * Course syllabus
* Discussion forums
* Video conferencing sessions (recorded or observed live)
* Chat space
 | * Student interest and engagement with the course materials and with each other through, for example, discussion, collaborations, presentations, etc.
* Class discussions facilitated by the educator through encouraging, probing, questioning, summarizing, etc.
 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Criterion 2b: Assessment practices***How the educator helps students develop the intended learning outcomes for the course and checks to see if students have achieved them.* |
| * **Assessment practices facilitate achievement of learning outcomes**
* **Assessment of student learning are relevant, aligned with learning goals (outcomes), transparent, and fair**
* **Feedback to students is prompt and constructive, and at regular intervals throughout the course**

**You might see:*** A series of formative assessments (practice, no marks) or a staged/laddered (doing a series of parts over time) summative one
* Clearly stated assessment requirements, criteria, rubrics, and/or samples
* Constructive and timely feedback
* Students complete tasks building cumulatively toward learning outcomes
* Students doing varied tasks to check their knowledge, attitudes and skills (e.g., videos, self-assessment, essays, quizzes, blogs, podcasts, etc.)
* Use of self and peer feedback or assessment
 | **Feedback for the Educator****Evidence Found:**     **Strengths:**     **Areas for Improvement:**      |
| **Where to look** | **What you could comment on** |
| * Course syllabus
* Assignment directions with assessment criteria
* Pages/Modules in the course
 | * Assignment grading criteria are clearly communicated
* Examples of previous student work of varying quality are provided, along with a discussion of the differences between them
* The course outcomes assessed by specific assignments or tests are overtly stated in the assignment description or syllabus
 |
| * Student Assignments provided by educator
* Discussion boards

Announcements after assignments | * Impact of educator feedback on drafts of assignments
* Timeliness of feedback
* Effectiveness of feedback (clear, positive, specific, and focused on observable behavior that can be changed)
* Feedback communicates to students where to focus their learning effort
 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Criterion 3: Learning Environment***How the educator makes learning/content relevant to students and engages students in thinking and doing independently and together.* |
| * **Learning environment is respectful and inclusive**
* **Learning environment allows for the recognition of, and engagement with, diverse perspectives/worldviews**
* **Learning environment fosters student interest, motivation, engagement, participation**
* **Educator/Teacher is accessible and responsive to students**

**You might see:*** Frequent and timely student-educator contact is integral to the course
* Resources included that support students with learning online
* A diversity of course-specific resources provided (e.g., videos, text) that support student understanding and engagement with materials
* Students are actively encouraged to share learnings and resources
 | **Feedback for the Educator****Evidence Found:**     **Strengths:**     **Areas for Improvement:**      |
| **Where to look** | **What you could comment on** |
| * Discussion forums
* Communication sent to students
* Posted announcements
* Course syllabus
* Chat space
* Video conferencing sessions (recorded or observed live)
 | * The educator encourages student-to-educator contact for course related discussions or concerns
* An activity at the beginning of the course allows students to make personal connections
* The educator encourages and fosters a healthy exchange of ideas among course participants
* The learning materials present diverse voices, perspectives, and worldviews and use inclusive language
* The educator initiates contact with, or responds to, students on a regular basis to establish a consistent online presence and respond to difficulties
 |
| * Posted announcements
* Course syllabus
 | * The course includes information for students about online learning and student resources
* Students are directed to additional student supports, where appropriate (e.g., student learning services)
 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Summary and final reflections** *Linked to criterion 4, reflection and iterative growth* |
| **Reviewer:**Overall reflections emerging from the review process and response, where appropriate, to feedback (e.g., from previous peer review, from students):      Examples of good practice you would like to commend/share:     Please note any factors related to equity which might influence your review and how you considered them in the process and provision of feedback. Also, how did you incorporate consideration of the educator’s equity request into this review (see pg. 2, #19)?*To be used by educator to inform reflections and by any others interpreting the feedback.*      | **Educator:**Reflection on the feedback, and plans for future enhancement:      |